

STEVE COOLEY
District Attorney of Los Angeles County
Michele Hanisee, Bar No. 187430
Deputy District Attorney
Beth Silverman, Bar No. 162166
Deputy District Attorney
Major Crimes Division
210 W. Temple
Los Angeles, CA 90012
(213) 974-3800

Attorney for Plaintiff

FILED
LOS ANGELES SUPERIOR COURT

JUN 07 2012

JOHN A. CLARKE, EXECUTIVE OFFICER/CLERK
BY Gisela D. Robles Deputy
Gisela D. Robles

SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA,

Plaintiff,

v.

LOUIE SANCHEZ,
MARVIN NORWOOD,

Defendants.

Case No. BA386892

REQUEST FOR GRANT OF USE
AND DERIVATIVE USE
IMMUNITY UNDER PENAL CODE
SECTION 1324

Date: June 7, 2012

Pursuant to Penal Code Section 1324 the People of the State of California represented by the District Attorney of Los Angeles County request this court to grant use immunity to **Dorene Sanchez** based upon her testimony in this case. **Dorene Sanchez** is not obligated to testify in any particular manner, with the sole exception that she is required to testify truthfully. The result of a grant of use and derivative use immunity is that the **Dorene Sanchez** will be immune from having her testimony in this case used against her, or used to derive further evidence against her, by any agency in any jurisdiction. It does *not* grant her immunity from prosecution for any act committed by her. Nor does it grant her immunity from prosecution for perjury.


///

///

1 Dated this 7th day of June, 2012

2
3
4 STEVE COOLEY
District Attorney

5 By:



6 BETH SILVERMAN
7 Deputy District Attorney
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

1 STEVE COOLEY
2 District Attorney of Los Angeles County
3 Michele Hanisee, Bar No. 187430
4 Deputy District Attorney
5 Beth Silverman, Bar No. 162166
6 Deputy District Attorney
7 Major Crimes Division
8 210 W. Temple
9 Los Angeles, CA 90012
10 (213) 974-3800

11 Attorney for Plaintiff

12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

**SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES**

PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA,

Plaintiff,

v.

LOUIE SANCHEZ,
MARVIN NORWOOD,

Defendants.

Case No. BA386892

MOTION FOR COURT ORDER
REQUIRING WITNESS TO
ANSWER QUESTIONS AND BE
GRANTED USE AND
DERIVATIVE USE IMMUNITY
UNDER P.C. 1324 AND
SUPPORTING DECLARATION

Date: June 7, 2012

TO THE HONORABLE JUDGE OF THE ABOVE ENTITLED COURT: THE
PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA REPRESENTED BY THE DISTRICT
ATTORNEY OF LOS ANGELES COUNTY move this Honorable Court to order **Dorene
Sanchez** to testify and produce evidence and thereafter be granted use immunity and derivative
use immunity for any question, fact, or thing which, in accordance with this order, the witness
was required to answer or produce, pursuant to Penal Code Section 1324.

POINTS AND AUTHORITIES

The granting of immunity may not be conditioned upon the witness testifying in
accordance with a prior statement (People v. Medina (1974) 41 Cal.App.3d 438), nor may it

1 depend upon whether the testimony produces a result favorable to the prosecution. (People v.
2 Green (1951) 102 Cal.App.2d 831, 834-839.) However, judicial integrity demands that the
3 witness testify fully and fairly and in good faith to earn immunity. (People v. Brunner (1973)
4 32 Cal.App.3d 908, 915; {People v. Medina supra, 456.)

5 Dated this 7th day of June 2012

6 STEVE COOLEY
District Attorney

7 By: 

8 BETH SILVERMAN,
9 Deputy District Attorney

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

DECLARATION

I, BETH SILVERMAN, declare that:

I am a Deputy District Attorney for the County of Los Angeles assigned to prosecute People v. Sanchez & Norwood, Case No. BA386892.

Defendants are charged, inter alia, with mayhem and assault by means of force likely to cause great bodily injury.

Dorene Sanchez is a material witness for the People.

Photographic evidence shows that Dorene Sanchez was present with both defendants when the acts charged as Count 4 occurred.

Dorene Sanchez has previously testified under oath that she was present at Dodger Stadium with the defendants on the day the charged assault occurred.

Dorene Sanchez has previously testified under oath that she drove the defendants out of the stadium after the assault.

Dorene Sanchez has been recorded during phone conversations with defendant Norwood discussing the charged crimes and events at Dodger Stadium.

The use of Sanchez as a witness is not contrary to public interest. The interests of justice would be served by giving use immunity to Sanchez. Sanchez is not being asked to testify to any event that could result in future prosecution. With a grant of use immunity, Sanchez's statement would not be used against her in any other proceeding or to derive evidence which could be used against her in any other proceeding.

I DECLARE UNDER PENALTY OF PERJURY that the above statement is true and correct.

Dated this 7th day of June, 2012

STEVE COOLEY
District Attorney

By: 

BETH SILVERMAN,
Deputy District Attorney

1 STEVE COOLEY
2 District Attorney of Los Angeles County
3 Michele Hanisee, Bar No. 187430
4 Deputy District Attorney
5 Beth Silverman, Bar No. 162166
6 Deputy District Attorney
7 Major Crimes Division
8 210 W. Temple
9 Los Angeles, CA 90012
10 (213) 974-3800

11 Attorney for Plaintiff

12 **SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA**
13 **FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES**

14 PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA,

15 Plaintiff,

16 v.

17 LOUIE SANCHEZ,
18 MARVIN NORWOOD,

19 Defendants.

Case No. BA386892

ORDER REQUIRING WITNESS
TO ANSWER QUESTIONS
UNDER PENAL CODE SECTION
1324

20 THE COURT has read and considered the MOTION and DECLARATION submitted by
21 the District Attorney of Los Angeles County under Penal Code Section 1324 requesting that
22 **Dorene Sanchez**, a material witness, be required to answer questions and produce evidence in the
23 County of Los Angeles, State of California. **Dorene Sanchez**, as a witness, having had a hearing
24 upon an order to show cause why such an order should not issue.

25 THE COURT believes the allegations in the DECLARATION to be true;

26 THE COURT finds there is no good cause why this ORDER should not be made;

27 THE COURT finds this ORDER is not contrary to public interest;

28 THE COURT finds that none of the testimony of **Dorene Sanchez** provided because of his
compliance with this order may be used against her in any proceeding in any jurisdiction, nor may it
be used to derive other evidence to be used against him in any proceeding in any jurisdiction;

1 IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that **Dorene Sanchez** answer such questions and produce such
2 evidence by testifying fully, fairly, and in good faith as to the witness' knowledge of the facts from
3 which the charges arose in the case of People v Louie Sanchez & Marvin Norwood, BA386892, as
4 may be material, competent and relevant to the case and which otherwise, but for the provisions of
5 Penal Code Section 1324, the witness would be privileged to withhold on the ground that answering
6 such questions and producing such evidence might be self-incriminating.

7 Dated this 7th day of June, 2012

8 By: 

9 Hon. GEORGE G. LOMELI
10 JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT
11 COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES
12 STATE OF CALIFORNIA
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28